

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,144	09/783,144 02/15/2001		Walter Rosenbaum	2001P01989US	1512
28204	7590	09/01/2004		EXAMINER	
	S SCHWE	- <del>-</del>	POND, ROBERT M		
•	ELLECTU. EDERSTRA	AL PROPERTY ASSE 245	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ZURICH,		7	3625	<u></u>	
SWITZER	LAND		DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/783,144	ROSENBAUM, WALTER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert M. Pond	3625				
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ma	ay 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-14,16-22,24-36 and 38-60 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-14,16-22,24-36,38-60 are sub	vn from consideration.	requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/783,144

Art Unit: 3625

## Election/Restrictions

Page 2

A telephone call the Applicant's representative to Switzerland was not practical to discuss an election to the restriction requirement below. An attempt to communicate via electronic mail supplied by the Applicant's attorney resulted in a system error noting an undeliverable message. In light of the fact that restriction requirement is being made after a first Office Action, the Examiner will weigh the Applicant's response should the Applicant elect with traverse. The Examiner, however, is strongly urging the Applicant to consider election without traverse. The Examiner firmly believes it would be prudent to restrict at this time to effectively prosecute to allowance or abandonment the Applicant's invention.

Should the Applicant's representative desire to talk directly about this before making a decision, the Examiner may be contacted directly via email (robert.pond@uspto.gov) to establish a telephonic interview time and date, or the Examiner may be called directly- whichever is more convenient.

The above does not in any fashion convey the Examiner's opinion regarding allowance or sustaining rejection at this time.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-22, 24, 36, and 38-42 drawn to an intermediary monitoring buyer and seller transactions, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- Claims 25-35, drawn to system for financing credit, classified in class
   subclass 35.

Application/Control Number: 09/783,144

Art Unit: 3625

III. Claims 43-60, drawn to return product processing, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

Page 3

Inventions I-III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has separate utility as noted above. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3625

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mr. Robert M. Pond** whose telephone number is 703-605-4253. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:30PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Mr. Vincent Millin** can be reached on 703-308-1065.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

**703-872-9306** (Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

Robert M. Pond Patent Examiner

robert.pond@uspto.gov

August 30, 2004